Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Research Article

Journal of Biosafety and Biosecurity

journal homepage: www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/journal-of-biosafetyand-biosecurity/

Origin and evolutionary analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant

Yamin Sun^{a,c,1}, Wenchao Lin^{c,1}, Wei Dong^c, Jianguo Xu^{a,b,*}

^a Research Institute of Public Health, Nankai University, Tianjin, PR China

^b State Key Laboratory for Infectious Disease Prevention and Control, National Institute for Communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, PR China

^c Engineering and Research Center for Microbial Functional Genomics and Detection Technology, Ministry of Education, Nankai University

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 December 2021 Received in revised form 16 December 2021 Accepted 19 December 2021

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant Mouse-adapted mutation Reverse zoonosis

ABSTRACT

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has evolved rapidly into new variants throughout the pandemic. The Omicron variant has more than 50 mutations when compared with the original wild-type strain and has been identified globally in numerous countries. In this report, we analyzed the mutational profiles of several variants, including the per-site mutation rate, to determine evolutionary relationships. The Omicron variant was found to have a unique mutation profile when compared with that of other SARS-CoV-2 variants, containing mutations that are rare in clinical samples. Moreover, the presence of five mouse-adapted mutation sites suggests that Omicron may have evolved in a mouse host. Mutations in the Omicron receptor-binding domain (RBD) region, in particular, have potential implications for the ongoing pandemic.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The current COVID-19 pandemic is a global human health crisis caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). On 26 November 2021, the World Health Organization (WHO) designated the SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.1.529, named Omicron, as its fifth variant of concern (VOC). This decision was based on the evidence presented to health officials and researchers that Omicron had numerous mutations with potential implications for the ongoing pandemic. The Omicron variant has now been identified globally,¹ including countries throughout Asia, Africa, Europe, and North America.

The original wild-type SARS-CoV-2 strain likely originated in a bat host.^{2–4} Initially, pangolins were thought to be the source of spillover to humans, but they may have been infected by other animal species.⁵ Since the outbreak of COVID-19, several countries have reported infections of SARS-CoV-2 in animals. Human-to-animal transmission has been observed in pets, farmed animals, and animals held in zoos, in addition to free-ranging wild animals.^{6,7} For example, infections under natural conditions have been reported in pet dogs⁸ and cats,⁹ in farmed mink¹⁰ and ferrets,¹¹ and tigers, lions, snow leopards, pumas, and gorillas at zoos.¹² Most diseased animals are hypothesized to have been

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: xujianguo@icdc.cn (J. Xu).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

infected through close contact with COVID-19-positive human patients. However, no compelling evidence currently shows that any domestic animal can readily transmit SARS-CoV-2 to other animals, including humans. Few animal cases have shown the potential for further zoonotic and anthroponotic viral transmission. Nevertheless, infection in domestic and wild animal species has possible implications for public health.

SARS-CoV-2 enters host cells via the interaction of spike-like proteins (S proteins) on the viral surface with the host cell entry receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2).² Some variants that have mutations in the receptor-binding domain (RBD) region of the S protein are VOC because they are potentially associated with enhanced transmission, pathogenicity, and/or immune evasion.¹³ Although the initial wild-type strain of SARS-CoV-2 does not infect mice, mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 strains have been identified. Several mouse-adapted strains have mutations located in the RBD region, enhancing interactive affinities with mouse ACE2 (mACE2)¹⁴ to facilitate efficient viral replication in this host. A mouse-adapted strain at passage 6 (MASCp6), which has an N501Y mutation, was shown to have increased infectivity in the lung during serial passaging in BALB/c mice.¹⁵ Another study showed that three SARS-CoV-2 VOCs, namely B.1.1.7 and two other N501Y-carrying variants, B.1.351 and P.3, can infect mice.¹⁶

In this study, we constructed a phylogenetic tree of all known VOCs and variants of interest (VOIs). The results showed that the Omicron variant was not present on an intermediate evolutionary branch, suggesting that it may have evolved in a non-human host.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobb.2021.12.001

2588-9338/© 2021 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).





Analysis of Omicron mutation data revealed a high number of mutations, that these mutations are concentrated in the S protein (specifically the RDB region), and that Omicron has five mouseadapted mutation sites. Together, the data suggest that Omicron may have evolved in a mouse host.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data collection

We downloaded a representative set of SARS-CoV-2 genomes from individuals infected during the COVID-19 pandemic from the GISAID database.¹⁷ The genomes had complete metadata, including patient age and sex and the year and country in which

Table 1

The average number of mutations per sample in each VOC is based on publicly available datasets.

Variant	Average number of mutations
Alpha	29.7
Gamma	29.1
Beta	28.4
Delta	35.4
Omicron	53.3

samples were collected. These data were used to test associations between variation in SARS-CoV-2 genomes and available epidemiological metadata.

2.2. Mutation analysis

The complete genome of SARS-CoV-2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1 (NC_045512.2) was used as the reference genome,¹⁸ and mutations in all other samples were compared with this reference isolate. Detected mutations were confirmed with Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) and annotated with the SnpEff program.¹⁹

2.3. Construction of a phylogenetic tree with full-length genomic sequences

The full-length genomic sequences of VOCs and VOIs used in this analysis included 30 each of the Alpha, Beta, Eta, Iota, Mu, Kappa, Zeta, Theta, Epsilon, and Omicron variants. There were also 28 Gamma, 98 Delta, and 29 Lambda variant genomes included. All 455 genomes were aligned using MAFFT v7.31023.²⁰ The aligned sequences were converted to the phylip file format with Clustal W,²¹ and maximum likelihood (ML) trees were then constructed in RaxML v8.2.12²² with 100 bootstrap replicates. The time-scaled phylogenetic trees were constructed using NextStrain²³ and Treetime²⁴ and visualized with FigTree v1.4.4.²⁵



Fig. 1. Mutation profiles of the five variants of concern (VOCs) designated by the World Health Organization. Common mutations are marked in red. Many mutations in the Omicron variant are unique when compared with mutations in other VOCs.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. High number of mutations

We calculated the average number of mutations in the five VOCs circulating globally and found that the Omicron variant has significantly more mutations than any other variant currently in circulation (Table 1). This observation suggests that the environment in which Omicron evolved may differ from other known VOCs that have infected healthy human hosts. The Omicron variant likely evolved in an immunocompromised patient, although it is possible that this variant also evolved in an animal host.

3.2. Key mutation positions

The RBD region recognizes ACE2, the host receptor that binds to the viral S protein.²⁶ Mutations in the RBD region may increase the binding affinity and viral infectivity. Furthermore, most vaccineinduced neutralizing antibodies and antibody treatments target the RBD. The Omicron variant has at least 15 mutations in the RBD region, including mutations at Q493 and Q498 (Fig. 1), which are especially concerning to public health experts. Studies have shown that mutations at these two sites are related to the infectivity of animals. In 2021, the Jin research team showed that strains with the Q493K and Q498H mutations have significantly enhanced affinity toward mACE2.¹⁴ In a study of New York sewer samples published in July 2021,²⁷ researchers found many variants with the Q493K and Q498Y mutations, which were rare in clinical samples. At that time, only three reported strains of SARS-CoV-2 had the Q498H mutation, and none had the Q498Y mutation. This study showed that by July 2021, the Q498 mutation had accumulated in large numbers of animal hosts living in the sewers of New York, and the authors discussed the possibility of SARS-CoV-2 spreading between non-human animal hosts. A CSIRO study additionally identified seven key mutation sites potentially related to mACE2 binding affinity. In the S protein, these sites are K417, E484, F486, Q493, Q498, P499, and N501.^{28–31} We compared key mutations in 13 mouse-adapted strains with the Omicron variant (Fig. 2). The results showed that the Omicron variant contains mutations at five key sites of viral S protein: K417, E484, Q493, Q498, and N501. Notably, another strain had mutations at the same five sites, the IA-501Y-MA-30 strain, which was obtained from mouse lung samples after 30 passages of the IA-501Y strain.³² These results suggest that the Omicron variant may have evolved in a mouse host.

3.3. Phylogenetic analysis of VOCs and VOIs

Despite a large number of mutations in Omicron, no evidence was found in known public databases to suggest that these mutations slowly accumulated over time. Additionally, phylogenetic trees showed no intermediate branches of evolution, which is a very surprising result. Starting in August 2021, the Delta variant was dominant globally, and until November 2021, 99.6% of all collected specimens causing new infections were identified as Delta (Fig. 3A). If Omicron evolved from a strain of the Delta variant, such as AY.4, AY.23, or AY.46 (the dominant variants in Europe, Asia, and Africa, respectively), they would share a common mutation profile. However, analysis of data from GISAID showed that the Omicron variant differed from each of these strains and did not evolve from the Delta variant (Fig. 3B). The phylogenetic analysis strongly indicates that the Omicron variant forms a monophyletic group with the Gamma variant as a sister group, and the Omicron group has an extremely long branch length. The time-scaled phylogenetic



Fig. 2. Seven key mutations among 13 mouse-adapted strains and the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2. IA-501Y-MA-30 is a homogenate obtained from mouse lung after 30 passages of the IA-501Y strain.



Fig. 3. The abundance of different SARS-Cov-2 variants by percent of new infections from a public database (A). Evolutionary analysis shows that the Omicron variant did not evolve from the Delta variant and differs from other variants (B).

tree shows that the Omicron and Gamma lineages likely diverged in the first half of 2020. This supports the hypothesis that Omicron may have evolved in a non-human animal species. After accumulating many mutations in the animal host, the altered coronavirus was transmitted back to humans by reverse zoonosis.

The emergence of the Omicron variant indicates that surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 variants should be conducted in economically underdeveloped countries and in the environment to avoid the continuous emergence of new variants of unknown origin. Understanding the threat posed by the Omicron variant will require researchers to gather and analyze a great deal more data in a brief period. Determining the origin of Omicron requires surveillance of animals, especially rodents, because they may have come into contact with humans carrying a strain of the virus with adaptive mutations. Future work should focus on SARS-CoV-2 variants isolated from other wild animals to investigate the evolutionary trajectories and biological properties of these variants both in vitro and in vivo. If Omicron is determined to have been derived from animals, the implications of it circulating among non-human hosts will pose new challenges in the prevention and control of the epidemic.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Yamin Sun: Writing – original draft, Visualization. Wenchao Lin: Writing – original draft. Wei Dong: Software, Data curation. Jianguo Xu: Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Karim SSA, Karim QA. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet*. 2021;398:2126–2128. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02758-6</u>.
- Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature*. 2020;579:270–273. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7</u>.

- Lau SK, Woo PC, Li KS, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-like virus in Chinese horseshoe bats. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.. 2005;102:14040–14045. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506735102</u>.
- Cui J, Li F, Shi Z-L. Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019;17:181–192. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0118-9</u>.
- Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. *The Lancet.* 2020;395:565–574. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30251-8</u>.
- Authority EFS, Prevention ECfD, Control, et al. Monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 infection in mustelids. EFSA J. 2021;19(3):e06459. doi:https://doi.org/10.2903/ j.efsa.2021.6459.
- Korath ADJ, Janda J, Untersmayr E, et al. One Health: EAACI Position Paper on coronaviruses at the human-animal interface, with a specific focus on comparative and zoonotic aspects of SARS-Cov-2. Allergy. n/a(n/a). doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/all.14991.
- Morgan L, Protopopova A, Birkler RID, et al. Human-dog relationships during the COVID-19 pandemic: booming dog adoption during social isolation. Hum Soc Sci Commun. 2020/11/24 2020;7(1):155. doi:10.1057/s41599-020-00649-
- Sharun K, Saied AA, Tiwari R, Dhama K. SARS-CoV-2 infection in domestic and feral cats: current evidence and implications. *Vet Q*. 2021;41:228–231. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1080/01652176.2021.1962576</u>.
- Rabalski L, Kosinski M, Smura T, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in farmed mink (Neovison vison), Poland. *Emerg Infect Dis J.* 2021;27:2333. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2709.210286.
- Kim YI, Kim SG, Kim SM, et al. Infection and rapid transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in ferrets. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2020;27:704–709.e2. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.chom.2020.03.023</u>.
- Mathavarajah S, Dellaire G. Lions, tigers and kittens too: ACE2 and susceptibility to COVID-19. Evol Med Public Health. 2020;2020:109–113. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/emph/eoaa021</u>.
- Dinnon 3rd KH, Leist SR, Schäfer A, et al. A mouse-adapted model of SARS-CoV-2 to test COVID-19 countermeasures. *Nature*. 2020;586:560–566. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2708-8</u>.
- Huang K, Zhang Y, Hui X, et al. Q493K and Q498H substitutions in Spike promote adaptation of SARS-CoV-2 in mice. *EBioMedicine*. 2021;67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103381</u>.
- Gu H, Chen Q, Yang G, et al. Adaptation of SARS-CoV-2 in BALB/c mice for testing vaccine efficacy. *Science*. 2020;369:1603–1607. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.abc4730</u>.
- Shuai H, Chan JF-W, Yuen TT-T, et al. Emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants expand species tropism to murines. *EBioMedicine*. 2021;73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ebiom.2021.103643</u>.
- Shu Y, McCauley J. GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data from vision to reality. Euro Surveill. 2017;22(13)doi:10.2807/1560-7917. Es.2017.22.13.30494.
- Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. *Nature*. 2020;579:265–269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3</u>.
- Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang LL, et al. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin). 2012;6:80–92. https://doi.org/10.4161/fly.19695.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2013;30:772–780. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010</u>.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1994;22:4673–4680. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/22.22.4673</u>.

- Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and postanalysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*. 2014;30:1312–1313. <u>https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033</u>.
- Hadfield J, Megill C, Bell SM, et al. Nextstrain: real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. *Bioinformatics*. 2018;34:4121–4123. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/</u> bioinformatics/bty407.
- Sagulenko P, Puller V, Neher RA. TreeTime: Maximum-likelihood phylodynamic analysis. Virus Evol. 2018;4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vex042</u>.
- 25. Rambaut A. FigTree. http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.
- Wang Q, Zhang Y, Wu L, et al. Structural and functional basis of SARS-CoV-2 entry by using human ACE2. *Cell*. 2020;181:894–904.e9. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.045</u>.
- Trujillo M, Cheung K, Gao A, et al. Protocol for safe, affordable, and reproducible isolation and quantitation of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from wastewater. *PLoS ONE*. 2021;16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257454</u> e0257454.
- 28. Verkhivker GM, Agajanian S, Oztas DY, Gupta G. Comparative perturbationbased modeling of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein binding with host receptor

and neutralizing antibodies: structurally adaptable allosteric communication hotspots define spike sites targeted by global circulating mutations. *Biochemistry*. 2021;60:1459–1484. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.</u> <u>biochem.1c00139</u>.

- Lan J, Ge J, Yu J, et al. Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. *Nature*. 2020;581:215–220. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2180-5</u>.
- Muruato A, Vu MN, Johnson BA, et al. Mouse adapted SARS-CoV-2 protects animals from lethal SARS-CoV challenge. bioRxiv. 2021;doi:10.1101/ 2021.05.03.442357.
- Kuiper MJ, Wilson LO, Mangalaganesh S, Lee C, Reti D, Vasan SS. But Mouse, you are not alone: On some severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 variants infecting mice. bioRxiv. 2021:2021.08.04.455042. doi:10.1101/ 2021.08.04.455042.
- 32. Roy Wong LY, Zheng J, Wilhelmsen K, et al. Eicosanoid signaling as a therapeutic target in middle-aged mice with severe COVID-19. bioRxiv. Apr 21 2021;doi:10.1101/2021.04.20.440676.